Variation of canonical heights of subvarieties for polarized endomorphisms

Thomas Gauthier, Gabriel Vigny

arXiv:2307.11243v1 (20 July 2023)
http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.11243v1

From point heights to moving cycles

Let S be a smooth projective curve and let $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to S$ be a family defined over a number field K. On a Zariski open set S^0, the total space is normal and flat, a rational map $f\colon \mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is regular over S^0, and a relatively ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies

$$f_t^* L_t \simeq L_t^{\otimes d}, \qquad d \geq 2,$$

on every fiber X_t, where $L_t = \mathcal{L}|_{X_t}$. Thus each regular fiber carries a canonical adelic metric and canonical heights.

Let $\mathcal{Y} \subsetneq \mathcal{X}$ be irreducible, dominate S, and have relative dimension q. Write Y_t and Y_η for its ordinary and generic fibers, and let $S^0_{\mathcal{Y}} \subset S^0$ be the maximal open set on which $\mathcal{Y} \to S$ is flat and projective. The canonical height of a q-cycle is the arithmetic intersection height for the canonical metric. In the source's convention, over a number field F of definition its denominator is $(q+1)[F:\mathbb{Q}]\deg_{Y_t}(L_t)$. Over $K(S)$ the generic height can equivalently be obtained from a resolution of the iterates as a normalized limit with scale $d^{-n(q+1)}$.

Main theorem (Gauthier–Vigny, Main Theorem)**.** *For every height h_S associated with an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle of degree 1 on S,*

$$\lim_{\substack{t \in S^0_{\mathcal{Y}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ h_S(t) \to \infty}} \frac{\widehat{h}_{f_t}(Y_t)}{h_S(t)} = \widehat{h}_{f_\eta}(Y_\eta).$$

More quantitatively, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C(\varepsilon)$ such that, for all such t,

$$(\widehat{h}_{f_\eta}(Y_\eta) - \varepsilon)h_S(t) - C(\varepsilon) \leq \widehat{h}_{f_t}(Y_t) \leq (\widehat{h}_{f_\eta}(Y_\eta) + \varepsilon)h_S(t) + C(\varepsilon).$$

When $q = 0$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ is a degree-one section, this is the familiar Call–Silverman limit for a moving point; relative dimension zero more generally also permits multisections. Positive-dimensional cycles introduce arithmetic intersection theory: no single orbit-value formula can replace their mixed curvature measures.

Two height mechanisms

The proof joins two comparisons.

Canonical versus naive height. Fix a semipositive adelic metric $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ and a degree-one ample base height $h_{S,H}$. Proposition 3 proves, uniformly for every regular fiber of a dynamical pair,

$$\left|h_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}}(Y_t) - \widehat{h}_{f_t}(Y_t)\right| \leq C\big(h_{S,H}(t) + 1\big).$$

Locally, the Call–Silverman estimate bounds the difference between a model metric and the canonical metric. Multilinearity of arithmetic intersection expands the difference of cycle heights into integrals of that metric difference against mixed curvature measures. These measures have the fixed mass $\deg_{Y_t}(L_t)$; summing the local bounds over all places gives the displayed global estimate.

Fiber height versus base height. Let $\rho\colon Z \to S$ be a flat projective morphism from an integral projective variety, of relative dimension $D > 0$, and let $\overline{L}$ be big and nef with a model metric. Theorem 4 says that the normalized Deligne pairing of $\overline{L}$ is an ample semipositive adelic line bundle M on S, with

$$h_M(t) = h_{\overline{L}}(Z_t), \qquad \deg M = h_{L_\eta}(Z_\eta).$$

This converts a varying intersection number on the fibers into an ordinary Weil height on the curve, where two ample heights are asymptotically proportional to their degrees.

Proof roadmap and the shrinking error

The decisive device is to apply the first comparison not to Y_t, but to the pushforward cycle $(f_t^n)_* Y_t$. Lemma 1 gives the exact functoriality

$$\widehat{h}_{f_\eta}((f_\eta)_* Y_\eta) = d\,\widehat{h}_{f_\eta}(Y_\eta),$$

and the analogous fiber identity. Resolve the rational map f^n by $\phi_n\colon X_n \to \mathcal{X}$, write $F_n = f^n \circ \phi_n$ where this extends, and use the scaled metric $\overline{L}_n = d^{-n} F_n^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}$. After flattening the transform of $\mathcal{Y}$, Proposition 3 becomes

$$\left| h_{\overline{L}_n}(\phi_n^{-1}(Y_t)) - \widehat{h}_{f_t}(Y_t) \right| \le \frac{C}{d^n}\big(h_{S,H}(t) + 1\big). \tag{1}$$

The Deligne pairing now makes the first term in (1) a height $h_{M_n}(t)$ on S, by applying the preceding construction to the flattened transform of $\mathcal{Y}$ with $\overline{L}_n$ restricted to it. The generic intersection estimate of Lemma 2 computes its degree:

$$\deg M_n = \widehat{h}_{f_\eta}(Y_\eta) + O(d^{-n}).$$

For fixed n, quasi-equivalence of ample heights on a projective curve therefore compares h_{M_n} with h_S, with leading coefficient $\deg M_n$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, first choose n so large that both the degree error and the d^{-n}-term in (1) are within the required tolerance; then absorb the remaining $o(h_S(t))$ and bounded terms. This yields the quantitative inequalities, and division by $h_S(t)$ proves the limit.

The technically hardest point is Proposition 3. Its local metric estimate must survive integration against every mixed archimedean and non-archimedean curvature measure, including the avoidance of mass on the divisor used to represent L_t. That uniform control is exactly what later acquires the useful factor d^{-n}.

Dynamical meaning and limits

If the generic height is nonzero, the theorem says that the specialized cycle heights grow linearly with the arithmetic complexity of the parameter. In particular, take $\mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ for a family of endomorphisms of projective space. Preperiodicity of the critical set implies height zero, so Northcott's theorem makes the post-critically finite parameters of any fixed bounded degree over $\mathbb{Q}$ finite when the generic critical height is nonzero.

The result is asymptotic on a one-dimensional base and excludes the finitely many parameters outside $S^0_{\mathcal{Y}}$. It does not give a uniform $O(1)$ error, nor does the paper claim that height zero is equivalent to preperiodicity for positive-dimensional subvarieties. The full argument also depends on adelic intersection theory and Deligne pairings; the formulas above record their roles, not replacements for their construction.