Effective Strassmann Certificates for Local p-adic Dynamical Mordell–Lang Interpolants

Farrukh Mukhamedov

arXiv:2607.14339v1 (15 July 2026)

http://arxiv.org/abs/2607.14339v1

The effective local question

Fix a prime p, normalize $v_p(p) = 1$, and put $A = \mathbb{Z}_p\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$. The paper begins after a global dynamical Mordell–Lang argument has reached a residue polydisc on which

$$f(x) = x + p^q \Phi(x), \qquad \Phi \in A^d, \qquad q \geq 1, \quad q(p-1) > 1.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ and $F \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, let $T(G) = G \circ f$ and $\Delta = T - I$. The analytic time interpolation is

$$H_F(z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} B_m \binom{z}{m}, \qquad B_m = (\Delta^m F)(a), \qquad H_F(n) = F(f^n(a)).$$

If $H(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} c_j z^j \neq 0$, its Strassmann index is $\mathrm{SI}(H) = \max\{j : v_p(c_j) = \min_i v_p(c_i)\}$; it bounds, with multiplicity, the zeros of H in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. The question is whether this index can be certified from finitely many orbit values.

Write $y_i = F(f^i(a))$, so

$$B_m = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-i} \binom{m}{i} y_i.$$

For the signed Stirling numbers $s(m, j)$, defined by $z(z-1)\cdots(z-m+1) = \sum_j s(m, j) z^j$, set

$$C_j^{(M)} = \sum_{m=j}^{M} B_m \frac{s(m, j)}{m!}, \quad D = q(p-1) - 1, \quad \lambda_{p,q}(M) = \left\lceil \frac{D(M+1)+1}{p-1} \right\rceil.$$

Main theorem (Mukhamedov, Theorem 1.1)**.** *Assume $H_F \not\equiv 0$, and put $\alpha_M = \min_{0 \leq j \leq M} v_p(C_j^{(M)})$. If $\alpha_M < \lambda_{p,q}(M)$, then*

$$\mathrm{SI}(H_F) = \max\{0 \leq j \leq M : v_p(C_j^{(M)}) = \alpha_M\}.$$

For every nonzero H_F, this test succeeds for all sufficiently large M.

Thus a successful finite computation gives the exact analytic zero bound, not merely an asymptotic estimate. With orbit values known modulo p^R, Theorem 1.2 remains valid when the observed minimum is also strictly below $R - V_M$, where $V_M = \max_{m \leq M} v_p(m!)$.

Why the certificate works

The proof has three short but decisive steps. First, $\Delta^m(A) \subset p^{qm} A$, hence $B_m \in p^{qm}\mathbb{Z}_p$. Converting the Mahler expansion to ordinary powers gives $c_j = \sum_{m \geq j} B_m s(m, j)/m!$. Legendre's formula yields

$$qm - v_p(m!) = \frac{(q(p-1)-1)m + s_p(m)}{p-1} \geq \frac{Dm+1}{p-1}.$$

Consequently the omitted tail has valuation at least $\lambda_{p,q}(M)$, both in $c_j - C_j^{(M)}$ for $j \leq M$ and in every c_j for $j > M$. Second, a strict valuation gap below that tail means that the truncated and true series have exactly the same last coefficient of least valuation. Finally, for $J = \mathrm{SI}(H_F)$, taking $M \geq J$ with $\lambda_{p,q}(M) > \min_j v_p(c_j)$ proves termination. The strict gap is essential: failure at a chosen M does not distinguish an insufficient truncation from $H_F \equiv 0$.

Three geometric refinements

The coefficient estimate supports several distinct refinements.

- On a time class $b + p^h\mathbb{Z}_p$, Lemma 6.1 gives $f^{p^h}(x) = x + p^{q+h}\Phi_h(x)$. Hence $H_{F;b,h}(u) = H_F(b + p^h u)$ has the same certificate with the stronger parameter $q + h$. Difficult classes can be split recursively; an identically zero branch records a full arithmetic progression.
- If a target V has several equations, pull its entire ideal back along the analytic arc $\Gamma_a(z) = f^z(a)$. A nonzero ideal in $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle z\rangle$ is principal; its generator $G_{\Gamma_a,V}$ has exactly the common zero set and $\mathrm{SI}(G_{\Gamma_a,V})$ is no larger than the index from any one pulled-back equation. This is an exact correctness statement, not yet a finite-precision gcd algorithm.
- In dimension one, if $f(a) \neq a$, put $s = v_p(f(a) - a)$. Theorem 9.3 proves $\Gamma_a(z) = a + (f(a) - a)W_a(z)$ with $W_a(z) = z + p\Theta_a(z)$ an analytic disc automorphism. Therefore

$$\mathrm{SI}(F(\Gamma_a(z))) = \sum_{\substack{F(\xi)=0 \\ v_p(\xi-a)\geq s}} m_\xi(F),$$

  where roots lie in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$. Contact at a nonfixed hit equals root multiplicity. This root count bounds ordinary nonnegative return times, but those times need not realize every p-adic zero.

The technically delicate point in the last item is the divisibility $(\Delta^m x)(a) \in (f(a) - a)p^{q(m-1)}\mathbb{Z}_p$. After division by $m!$, the remaining tail still lies in $p\mathbb{Z}_p\langle z\rangle$, which is precisely what makes W_a invertible.

A concrete orbit-ball model

Let $p \geq 3$, $r \geq 2$, $r \equiv 1 \pmod p$, and $\varphi_r(T) = T^r$ on $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$. For a nonfixed α, put $\rho = v_p(\alpha^r - \alpha)$. Theorem 10.1 says that for finite $B \subset 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$\#\{n \geq 0 : \alpha^{r^n} \in B\} \leq \#\{\beta \in B : v_p(\beta - \alpha) \geq \rho\}.$$

For $p = 3, r = 4, \alpha = 4$, one has $\rho = 2$. Of $B = \{4, 256, 7\}$, exactly 4 and 256 lie in $4 + 9\mathbb{Z}_3$, and they occur at times 0 and 1; the bound is exhausted, certifying that there are no later hits. This toy case displays both the strength and the limit of the method: a finite root count controls an infinite orbit, but only after a local tangent-to-identity coordinate has been found.

Further output and scope

The one-dimensional theorem also gives a sharp torsion statement. If $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a root of unity and $f(\zeta) \neq \zeta$, then for every $N \geq 1$, the solutions of $(f^n(\zeta))^N = 1$ are $\{0\}$ when $\zeta^N = 1$, and none otherwise; the corresponding index is 1 or 0, independently of N. The paper also supplies a one-nonzero-value bound, a first-order transverse escape criterion, and coefficientwise sharper tails.

These are local effective tools, not a new global DML theorem. They require analytic interpolation and do not directly handle ramified or non-étale directions. The coefficient certificates extend to finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, whereas the simple whole-ball interpretation may not. In higher dimension the natural object is intersection with a one-dimensional analytic arc, not a count of roots in an ambient ball.