Limits of Hyperkaehler Manifolds and Moduli Spaces of Sheaves on K3 Surfaces

Kefeng Liu, Yang Shen

arXiv:2605.18065v2 (15 October 2026)
http://arxiv.org/abs/2605.18065v2

Two Kählerness problems

Let S be a compact K3 surface, not necessarily projective. For a Mukai vector $v = (r, \xi, a)$, write $M_v(S, \omega)$ for the moduli space of μ_ω-stable torsion-free coherent sheaves. Earlier work gave compact smooth holomorphic-symplectic moduli spaces and identified their deformation type; the missing point was Kählerness, especially along the non-locally-free locus.

Main theorem (Liu–Shen, Theorem 1)**.** *Assume $r > 0$, $\xi \in \mathrm{NS}(S)$, and r, ξ are relatively prime. Let ω be a v-generic Kähler class. If $M = M_v(S, \omega)$ is nonempty and positive-dimensional, then M is a compact hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[n]}$-type, where $2n = v^2 + 2$.*

Its first input is a general limit theorem. A compact complex d-fold X, $d \geq 2$, is *strongly Gauduchon* if it admits a real closed $(2d-2)$-form Ψ whose $(d-1, d-1)$-component is strictly positive. The $\partial\bar{\partial}$-lemma, or $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, suffices. The phrase *limit of compact hyperkähler manifolds* means that $X \simeq X_0$ occurs in an analytic family over a smooth connected base and some fibers X_{t_i}, with $t_i \to 0$, are compact hyperkähler.

Main theorem (Liu–Shen, Theorem 2)**.** *A compact strongly Gauduchon manifold that is a limit of compact hyperkähler manifolds is bimeromorphic to a compact hyperkähler manifold. In particular it belongs to Fujiki class $\mathcal{C}$.*

The conclusion is deliberately birational: a central fiber satisfying these hypotheses need not itself be Kähler.

The energy route to a bimeromorphic limit

Period theory first produces nearby biholomorphisms

$$f_i : X_{t_i} \longrightarrow Y_{t'_i},$$

where $Y_0 = Y$ is compact hyperkähler, Kähler forms $\omega_i \to \omega$, and the marked classes $[f_i^* \omega_i]$ are bounded. Limits of normalized symplectic forms give a holomorphic two-form σ on X. Write $d = 2m$. The same strongly-Gauduchon estimate bounds normalized Ricci-flat Kähler forms on the nearby fibers. Since their symplectic forms are parallel, a pointwise comparison and the polarized Fujiki identity give

$$0 < \|\sigma\|_{L^1(\gamma)} \leq C\sqrt{q([\sigma], [\bar{\sigma}])}.$$

Thus $q([\sigma], [\bar{\sigma}]) > 0$, and Fujiki's identity yields $\sigma^m \neq 0$. Since $c_1(K_X) = 0$, its zero divisor pairs with the closed form Ψ as $\langle c_1(K_X), [\Psi] \rangle = 0$; strict positivity of $\Psi^{d-1,d-1}$ therefore makes that divisor empty. Hence σ is symplectic.

For Hermitian forms $\gamma_i \to \gamma$, the closed completion gives

$$\int_{X_{t_i}} f_i^* \omega_i \wedge \gamma_i^{d-1} \leq C \langle [f_i^* \omega_i], [\Psi] \rangle \leq C',$$

which is precisely a uniform Dirichlet-energy bound. This repairs a real obstruction in a graph-volume argument: when $d > 2$, energy controls only the first mixed term, not all intermediate powers of $f_i^* \omega_i$.

Proposition 3.3 replaces Bishop compactness. An ε-regularity estimate gives smooth convergence away from a Lelong-number locus; Siu's theorem makes that locus analytic. Finite energy on transverse disks and meromorphic extension then produce $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$. Passing $f_i^* \tau_i = \sigma_i$, for the normalized symplectic forms on the two families, to the limit gives $f^* \tau = \sigma$, so f is dominant and generically finite. If its degree exceeded one, two regular preimages of a general point, stability of local degree, and smooth convergence would contradict injectivity of the f_i. Thus f has degree one and is bimeromorphic. The analytic compactness step, rather than period theory alone, is the hard hinge.

From the model back to the moduli space

Theorem 2 yields only a hyperkähler *model* for M; three further ingredients make M itself Kähler. Assume here $r \geq 2$ and $v^2 > 0$; the two edge cases are recorded below.

1. A Bott–Chern diagonal. On a Brauer–Severi bundle $p : P \to M$ carrying a universal sheaf, the relative Ext complex on $P \times P$ has top Bott–Chern Chern class

$$c_m(K) = [P \times_M P]_{\mathrm{BC}}, \qquad m = \dim M.$$

Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch expresses this class as a sum of exterior products of pure-bidegree classes. Multiplication by the fiber hyperplane classes and pushdown give $[\Delta_M]_{\mathrm{BC}} = \sum_j a_j \boxtimes b_j$. Like an identity kernel, this diagonal decomposition forces the natural Bott–Chern-to-Aeppli map $H^{p,q}_{\mathrm{BC}}(M) \to H^{p,q}_{\mathrm{A}}(M)$ to be injective: Theorem 5.3 gives the $\partial\bar\partial$-lemma. Hence M is strongly Gauduchon; its relative moduli family and Theorem 2 supply a $K3^{[n]}$-type bimeromorphic hyperkähler model.

2. Positivity on every curve. For the Mukai isometry $\lambda_v : v^\perp \to H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, and the transported Beauville–Bogomolov form q, define

$$A = \lambda_v(0, r\omega, \omega\cdot\xi), \qquad B = \lambda_v(-r, 0, a), \qquad q(A) = r^2\omega^2 > 0.$$

Proposition 6.3 proves, for every irreducible compact curve $D \subset M$,

$$A \cdot D \geq 0, \qquad A \cdot D = 0 \Longrightarrow B \cdot D > 0.$$

Indeed, pull a family $\mathcal{F}$ to the normalization C of D, put $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}^{**}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{F}$, and split $A \cdot D$ into a nonnegative discriminant term for $\mathcal{E}$ and an effective $\mathrm{ch}_2(\mathcal{Q})$ term. Equality makes $\mathcal{E}$ constant and the support cycle of $\mathcal{Q}$ fixed; the family then lies in a punctual Quot space, where B is a positive multiple of the Plücker class. This is what incorporates non-locally-free sheaves.

3. Crossing no Kähler wall. Approaching projective fibers and bounded positive currents put A in the positive, pseudo-effective component. Moving ω inside its v-chamber avoids countably many hyperplanes and ensures that no nonzero integral $(1,1)$-class is orthogonal to both A and B. Proposition 7.2 uses the locally finite monodromy-birationally-minimal (MBM) walls and the preceding curve inequalities to place $A + \varepsilon B$ in a Kähler chamber for every small $\varepsilon > 0$. Its comparison lemma then upgrades the bimeromorphic model to an isomorphism. Thus M is Kähler; the known simple connectedness and one-dimensional $H^{2,0}$ complete the hyperkähler conclusion.

Scope

Rank one reduces to a Hilbert scheme and $v^2 = 0$ to a K3 surface; the new argument treats $r \geq 2$, $v^2 > 0$, including nonprojective S. The limit theorem alone does not assert Kählerness, and its compactness method needs the strongly-Gauduchon closed completion. The moduli theorem uses the full genericity, nonemptiness, positive-dimension, and coprimality hypotheses stated above.