A fusion variant of the classical and dynamical Mordell–Lang conjectures in positive characteristic

Jason Bell, Dragos Ghioca

arXiv:2205.02644v1 (5 May 2022)

https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.02644v1

A multiplicative return-time problem

Let K be a field of positive characteristic, let X/K be an irreducible quasiprojective variety, and let $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow X$ and $f : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be rational maps. For $x_0 \in X(K)$ whose forward orbit is defined and avoids the indeterminacy locus of f, the paper asks when the dynamical sequence $a_n = f(\varphi^n(x_0))$ enters a fixed finitely generated group $G \subset K^*$. An arithmetic progression here may be a singleton, and the exceptional set is measured using upper Banach density.

Main theorem (Bell–Ghioca, Theorem 1.1)**.** *Under the preceding hypotheses,*

$$N_G = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : f(\varphi^n(x_0)) \in G\}$$

is the union of finitely many arithmetic progressions and a set of upper Banach density zero.

Neither K algebraically closed nor φ dominant is required here. The statement blends the classical Mordell–Lang condition *value in a finitely generated group* with the dynamical question of return times. Its density-zero remainder is essential: positive-characteristic return sets can contain complicated configurations built from powers of p.

When every value lies in the group

There is a stronger geometric explanation in the extremal case $N_G = \mathbb{N}_0$. Suppose now that φ and f are dominant, the orbit of x_0 avoids both indeterminacy loci and is Zariski dense, and $a_n \in G$ for every n. Theorem 1.2 produces a rational map $\Theta : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^d$, a dominant endomorphism Φ of the torus, and

$$g(t_1, \ldots, t_d) = C t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_d^{i_d} \quad (C \in G,\ i_j \in \mathbb{Z})$$

such that $\Theta \circ \varphi = \Phi \circ \Theta$, $f = g \circ \Theta$, and Θ is defined along the orbit. Thus the observed sequence is a monomial coordinate of torus dynamics. A characteristic-p caveat is crucial: Θ need not be dominant.

The model case makes the conclusion natural. A torus endomorphism has coordinates $c_i \prod_j x_j^{a_{ij}}$; starting from $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d)$, every coordinate of every iterate belongs to the group generated by the c_i's and β_j's. This explains the torus mechanism but removes the hard converse: reconstructing it from one group-valued rational coordinate on a general variety.

The new positive-characteristic ingredient

The surrounding conversion from rational dynamics to multiplicative linear recurrences is imported from the authors' characteristic-zero framework. What must be replaced is its S-unit dependence step. Lemma 2.1 supplies the replacement. If $K/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is algebraically closed of transcendence degree e, $G \subset K^*$ has rank r, and $\dim X = d$, set $N = (d+e+1)^r$. If the locus on which $g_0, \dots, g_{N-1} \in K(X)$ all take values in G is Zariski dense, then these functions are multiplicatively dependent.

The proof is an induction on r. Choose a rank-one valuation ν nonzero on G, normalized so that $\nu(G) = \mathbb{Z}$, and put $G_0 = \ker(\nu|_G)$, of rank $r-1$. Partition the functions into $L = (d+e+1)^{r-1}$ blocks of size $d+e+1$. Each block is algebraically dependent over $\mathbb{F}_p$. At a simultaneous G-point, cancellation in the resulting polynomial relation forces two monomials to have equal ν-value. There are only finitely many choices of such pairs, so one choice works on a Zariski-dense subset. The corresponding L ratios take values in G_0; induction makes those ratios multiplicatively dependent, and hence makes the original g_i's dependent. The finite-choice Zariski-density step is the technical hinge: it turns pointwise valuation cancellation into one global rational-function relation.

Proof roadmap and the characteristic-p boundary

With Lemma 2.1, the earlier recurrence machinery applies: multiplicative dependence among sufficiently many iterated rational functions yields the torus factorization (in fact a semigroup version, Theorem 2.2), then the linear-recurrence description on residue classes, and finally the asserted periodic-plus-density-zero decomposition of N_G. The paper is explicit that these surrounding arguments follow the cited framework rather than being reproved in full here.

The missing dominance of Θ marks the real boundary. In characteristic zero, a subvariety of a torus containing a dense subset of a finitely generated group is a translate of a subtorus. In characteristic p, the available conclusion is only a translate of a subvariety defined over a finite field, so the stronger dominance argument breaks.

Further consequences and scope

Theorem 3.1 shows that, over a finitely generated extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$, group-valued orbit sequences of height $o(n^2)$ are eventually geometric on finitely many residue classes. Theorem 1.4 gives a positive-characteristic Pólya theorem: if a rational series has every coefficient in $G \cup \{0\}$, then after a polynomial its coefficients are geometric on residue classes. Its proof reduces each tail to an exponential sum and uses a Derksen–Masser relation plus a valuation density argument to rule out two distinct exponential terms. These applications are genuine additional themes; the present digest records their mechanism but omits their auxiliary height and recurrence estimates.