Group actions on local moduli space of holomorphic vector bundles

An-Khuong Doan

arXiv:2201.10851v2 (26 September 2022); doi:10.4153/S0008439522000522

http://arxiv.org/abs/2201.10851v2

The equivariance problem

Let X be a compact complex manifold and E a holomorphic vector bundle on X. A Kuranishi family parametrizes small deformations of the holomorphic structure on the fixed underlying smooth bundle $\underline{E}$. It is generally only *semi-universal*: automorphisms of E prevent uniqueness of the map inducing a deformation. Thus an action on the central bundle does not formally force an action on a chosen Kuranishi family. The paper proves that compactness, and then complex reductivity, supply the missing equivariance.

Write D_E for the Dolbeault operator of E. A nearby structure is $D_E + \alpha$, with $\alpha \in A^{0,1}(\operatorname{End} E)$, and is integrable exactly when

$$\mathcal{P}(\alpha) := D_E\alpha + \alpha \wedge \alpha = 0.$$

Bundle automorphisms act by gauge transformations. All automorphism groups below consist of bundle automorphisms covering the identity of X.

Main theorem (Doan, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.1)**.** *If $K \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}_X(E)$ is a compact real Lie subgroup, then E has a real-analytic K-equivariant semi-universal local deformation. If $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}_X(E)$ is a complex reductive Lie subgroup, then E has a holomorphic G-equivariant semi-universal local deformation, with local extended G-actions.*

In the compact case the action is defined on a chosen representative of the family; the complex-reductive extension is local. The written complexification argument chooses a connected maximal compact subgroup whose complexification is G, so that step is understood in the connected complex-reductive convention used in the proof.

Ingredient 1: equivariant Hodge theory

Compactness of K allows one to average a Hermitian metric on $\operatorname{End} E$. Consequently D_E, its adjoint D_E^*, the Laplacian $\Box_E$, the Green operator $\mathcal{G}$, and harmonic projection $P_{\mathcal{H}}$ are all K-equivariant. Hodge theory gives

$$A^{0,1}(\operatorname{End} E) = \mathcal{H}^{0,1} \oplus D_E A^{0,0}(\operatorname{End} E) \oplus D_E^* A^{0,2}(\operatorname{End} E),$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{0,1} \simeq H^1(X, \operatorname{End} E)$. This is the finite-dimensional tangent representation on which the Kuranishi model is built. Reductivity matters here through its maximal compact subgroup; without an invariant metric, the adjoint and Hodge splitting need not be equivariant.

Ingredient 2: an invariant nonlinear slice

After Sobolev completion, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and set

$$Q = \{\alpha : \|\alpha\|_k < \varepsilon, \quad D_E^*\alpha = 0, \quad D_E^*\mathcal{P}(\alpha) = 0\}.$$

The last two conditions are an elliptic gauge fixing. Consider the analytic map whose components are

$$\alpha \longmapsto \big(P_{\mathcal{H}}\alpha,\ D_E^*\alpha,\ D_E^*\mathcal{P}(\alpha)\big).$$

Its derivative at 0 is invertible by the Hodge decomposition: harmonic, exact, and coexact components are recovered separately using the Green operator. The Banach inverse-function theorem therefore identifies Q locally with $\mathcal{H}^{0,1}$; in particular, Q is finite dimensional. Every operator in the construction is K-equivariant, and compactness permits a K-invariant shrinking.

The actual Kuranishi base is the analytic germ

$$(T, 0) = \big(Q \cap \mathcal{P}^{-1}(0), 0\big).$$

The inclusion $T \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{-1}(0)$ is the usual semi-universal deformation map and is now K-equivariant. Thus the group permutes nearby integrable holomorphic structures while fixing the central one.

Ingredient 3: equivariance of the whole family

The underlying smooth total space is $\underline{E} \times T$. If J_t is the fiberwise complex structure corresponding to t, equivariance of the Kuranishi map gives

$$dk \circ J_t = J_{kt} \circ dk.$$

Hence $k(e, t) = (ke, kt)$ is biholomorphic for the varying complex structure, not merely a smooth action, and the projection to $X \times T$ is equivariant. This closes the gap between an invariant zero locus on the base and an equivariant deformation of the bundle itself.

For a complex reductive G, the proof first applies this construction to a maximal compact K. A local complexification theorem then extends the K-actions on T, on the ambient integrability locus, and on the Kuranishi map to compatible local holomorphic G-actions. This convergence step is the genuinely analytic part of the result.

A toy model and the formal shadow

If $H^2(X, \operatorname{End} E) = 0$, the deformation problem is unobstructed: locally T is a smooth germ modeled on $H^1(X, \operatorname{End} E)$. The theorem then resembles the linear cohomology representation of K. In general, the nonlinear equation $\mathcal{P}(\alpha) = 0$ cuts out a singular invariant germ; the equivariant slice is what makes that linear picture survive.

Theorem 5.1 identifies the controlling differential graded Lie algebra as

$$\big(A^{0,*}(\operatorname{End} E),\ D_E,\ [\,,\,]\big),$$

with the graded commutator bracket. Its Maurer–Cartan equation is exactly $D_E\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha, \alpha] = 0$, and quotienting by gauge recovers the deformation functor. This yields a compatible *formal* reductive-group action (Corollary 5.1). The formal statement alone does not give convergence: the invariant metric and equivariant Hodge splitting are the mechanism that extracts the analytic Kuranishi family.

Scope

The result concerns a germ and a semi-universal family; it does not assert that T is a coarse moduli space, that the action is unique, or that a neighborhood in the moduli problem is the quotient $T/\operatorname{Aut}_X(E)$. The paper explicitly leaves that quotient description open. It also does not extend the analytic argument to arbitrary non-reductive groups: the invariant metric and Hodge splitting are precisely the structural inputs that can fail.