Rank-two recurrence results for polynomials and questions of dynamical Mordell–Lang type

Geng-Rui Zhang

arXiv:2605.27058v2 (6 October 2026)
https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.27058v2

Problem and setup

For a polynomial u, write $u^{\circ 0} = \mathrm{id}$ and let $u^{\circ r}$ be its r-fold iterate. Given nonconstant $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, the paper studies the two-parameter recurrence set

$$S^2_{f,g,c} := \big\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0} : \text{some } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ satisfies } f^{\circ m}(\lambda) = g^{\circ n}(\lambda) = c(\lambda)\big\}.$$

The witness λ may depend on (m,n). A subset of $\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ is *linear* if it is a translate $a + \langle P\rangle$ of the additive monoid generated by a finite set $P \subset \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$, and is *semi-linear* if it is a finite union of such sets. Equivalently, by the Ginsburg–Spanier theorem recalled as Theorem 2.3, these are exactly the sets definable in Presburger arithmetic.

Main theorem (Zhang, Theorem 1.5)**.** *Let $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[z] \setminus \mathbb{C}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}[z]$. If $\deg f \geq 2$ or $\deg g \geq 2$, then $S^2_{f,g,c}$ is semi-linear.*

Thus the diagonal rank-one result—where $m = n$ and one obtains finitely many arithmetic progressions—extends to genuinely independent iteration counts. The qualification on the degrees is essential: Example 1.6 gives affine f, g and nonlinear c for which exponential graphs occur instead.

The rigidity-to-definability mechanism

Assume first that $d_1 = \deg f$ and $d_2 = \deg g$ are both at least two. The proof separates a finite-witness regime from a rigidity regime.

1. Isolate the degree-diagonal. Let $R_{f,g,c}$ consist of recurrence witnesses λ arising from pairs (m,n) for which neither $f^{\circ m}$ nor $g^{\circ n}$ equals c as a polynomial and $d_1^m \neq d_2^n$. If $R_{f,g,c}$ is finite, each fixed witness contributes a product of two one-variable return-time sets, hence a semi-linear set. Apart from the origin, the omitted equality $d_1^m = d_2^n$ has no solutions when $\log d_1 / \log d_2 \notin \mathbb{Q}$; otherwise its solutions form the ray $(m,n) = (qk, pk)$ for coprime p, q with $\log d_1 / \log d_2 = p/q$. On that ray, Proposition 3.12 applies the known rank-one theorem to $F = f^{\circ q}$ and $G = g^{\circ p}$. The finitely many boundary cases are handled separately by Lemma 3.1.

2. Turn infinitely many witnesses into common dynamics. If $R_{f,g,c}$ is infinite, Proposition 3.5 shows

$$\mathrm{PrePer}(f, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{PrePer}(g, \mathbb{C}), \qquad \mu_f = \mu_g, \qquad J(f) = J(g).$$

For distinct witnesses λ_j, their corresponding m_j, n_j tend to infinity. Canonical-height functoriality applied to $f^{\circ m_j}(\lambda_j) = g^{\circ n_j}(\lambda_j) = c(\lambda_j)$ forces both $\widehat{h}_f(\lambda_j)$ and $\widehat{h}_g(\lambda_j)$ to tend to zero; a height-rigidity result then gives $\widehat{h}_f = \widehat{h}_g$. Number-field, non-isotrivial function-field, and isotrivial function-field cases are treated separately to recover equality of preperiodic sets. This is the delicate bridge from pointwise recurrence to global dynamical rigidity.

3. Constrain the target map. Proposition 3.13 uses the graph of c and the infinitely many recurrence points to prove: a constant c is common-preperiodic; a linear c preserves the common preperiodic set and Julia set; and, if $\deg c \geq 2$, then c has the same preperiodic set, maximal-entropy measure, and Julia set. For nonexceptional maps this invokes the classification of periodic curves for a split polynomial map. In the power and Chebyshev cases, torsion points on algebraic tori supply the corresponding rigidity.

4. Classify and count. Equal maximal-entropy measures leave three cases. In the nonexceptional case, after affine conjugacy the Schmidt–Steinmetz classification gives

$$f = \zeta_1 h^{\circ k_1}, \qquad g = \zeta_2 h^{\circ k_2},$$

where the ζ_i belong to a finite root-of-unity symmetry group of a single polynomial h. When c is constant, split the lattice into the cones $k_1 m \geq k_2 n$ and $k_1 m < k_2 n$. On either cone, recurrence becomes a finite combination of ordinary return conditions for h, linear inequalities, and root-of-unity congruences. The return-time sets are empty or arithmetic progressions, and the symmetry exponents are eventually periodic. Thus all conditions are Presburger-definable.

The nonconstant-target branch is different. Proposition 3.13 and the same classification force

$$c = \zeta_3 h^{\circ k_3}, \qquad h(z) = z^r R(z^s).$$

If $r > 0$, then 0 is a common witness for every (m, n). If $r = 0$, Lemma 3.1 handles finitely many boundary rows and columns. When $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2$, a fixed point of h and a suitable $h^{\circ k_3}$-preimage give one common witness on the remaining cofinal rectangle. When $\zeta_1 \neq \zeta_2$, every remaining witness satisfies $h^{\circ k_3}(\lambda) = 0$, and recurrence is equivalent to

$$h^{\circ(k_1 m - k_3)}(0) = h^{\circ(k_2 n - k_3)}(0) = 0.$$

This is a product of two one-variable return conditions, hence again Presburger-definable. Explicit propositions handle power and Chebyshev maps; Proposition 4.8 handles the case in which exactly one of f, g is affine.

A toy model and the technical bottleneck

Suppress the finite symmetry group and take $f = h^{\circ k_1}$, $g = h^{\circ k_2}$ with a constant c that is periodic for h of exact period t. On $k_1 m \geq k_2 n$, the full calculation reduces to

$$h^{\circ(k_1 m - k_2 n)}(c) = c \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad k_1 m - k_2 n \equiv 0 \pmod{t}.$$

This congruence cuts out a semi-linear subset of the cone. It captures the last, Presburger-theoretic step, but omits preperiodic tails, root-of-unity twists, a nonconstant target, and the exceptional families. The real technical bottleneck is earlier: proving that infinitely many varying witnesses force a common dynamical skeleton and then rigidify the graph of c strongly enough for this finite congruence bookkeeping to apply.

Why this is of dynamical Mordell–Lang type

The theorem is a special higher-dimensional, rank-two recurrence statement. Indeed, in $X = \mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$ set

$$Z = \{(x, x, c(x))\}, \quad V = \{(x, x, x)\}, \quad F = (f, \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}), \quad G = (\mathrm{id}, g, \mathrm{id}).$$

The maps F and G commute, and

$$S^2_{f,g,c} = \{(m, n) : (F^{\circ m} \circ G^{\circ n})(Z) \cap V \neq \varnothing\}.$$

Thus a subvariety, rather than a point, is iterated in two independent directions. Section 1.4 formulates this and an independently iterated subvarieties version in arbitrary rank. Such recurrence sets can be much more intricate in general; Theorem 1.5 gives a complete description in this special polynomial setting.

Further scope

The paper also proves semilinearity when f, g, c are all affine (Theorem 1.7), and exhibits failure for a modified rank-two set that excludes the automatic witness $\lambda = 0$: for $f(z) = z^r$, $g(z) = z^s$, $c(z) = -z$ with odd $r, s \geq 3$, the modified set in Proposition 1.9 is not semi-linear. For rational maps, Theorem 1.12 assumes $\deg f, \deg g \geq 2$ and includes rank-two semilinearity when c is constant and f is nonexceptional, as well as two equal-degree rank-one results. The universal rank-one question remains open. Unrestricted rank-two semilinearity is already known to fail in the nonexceptional, Lattès, and monomial-type classes; the open problem is to identify conditions that guarantee it.