Variation of the canonical height in a family of polarized dynamical systems

Patrick Ingram

arXiv:2104.12877v1 [math.NT, math.DS], 26 April 2021
http://arxiv.org/abs/2104.12877v1

Problem and setup

Let K be a number field and B/K a smooth projective curve. On the generic fibre, consider a projective variety $X/K(B)$, an endomorphism $f\colon X \to X$, an ample line bundle L with

$$f^*L \simeq L^{\otimes d}, \qquad d \geq 2,$$

and a point $P \in X(K(B))$, viewed as a section away from finitely many fibres. Write $\widehat{h}_f(P)$ for the generic canonical height and $\widehat{h}_{f_t}(P_t)$ for the height after a good specialization $t \in B(\overline{K})$. Call–Silverman proved that, for a degree-one Weil height h_B,

$$\widehat{h}_{f_t}(P_t) = \widehat{h}_f(P)h_B(t) + o(h_B(t)).$$

The paper asks for a uniform power saving in this error without imposing special geometry on the family.

Main theorem (Ingram, Theorem 1)**.** *For a family as above,*

$$\widehat{h}_{f_t}(P_t) = \widehat{h}_f(P)h_B(t) + O\Big(h_B(t)^{2/3}\Big) \quad \text{as } h_B(t) \to \infty.$$

If B is rational, the error improves to $O\big(h_B(t)^{1/2}\big)$. The constants may depend on the family, P, and the chosen height, but not on t.

Thus the qualitative $o(h_B(t))$ becomes an effective-shaped estimate valid for arbitrary polarized families. In particular, if $\widehat{h}_f(P) > 0$, specializations for which P_t is preperiodic have bounded parameter height.

The proof mechanism

The argument refines the usual "iterate and divide by d^k" proof, but it must control the dependence of every error on k.

1. **Reduction to projective space.** Fakhruddin's equivariant embedding gives, for some n, N, an embedding $i\colon X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ and a degree-d endomorphism g of $\mathbb{P}^N$ with $i \circ f = g \circ i$ and $i^*\mathcal{O}(1) \simeq L^{\otimes n}$. Iterating removes the initially bounded discrepancy between the associated heights, so the generic and specialized canonical heights agree after multiplication by n. It is therefore enough to treat $(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}(1))$.
2. **The rational-base estimate.** For $B = \mathbb{P}^1$, write an iterate $f^k(P)$ in polynomial coordinates. Effective elimination (Cramer's rule applied to Nullstellensatz identities) compares evaluation at t with the geometric degree of those coordinates. Lemmas 7 and 9 show that both their geometric and coefficient heights are $O(d^k)$; consequently

$$\big|h(f^k(P)_t) - h^{\mathrm{geom}}(f^k(P))h(t)\big| = O(d^{2k}).$$

   Lemma 8 supplies the remaining generic and fibrewise canonical-versus-Weil-height errors, each $O(h(t))$. Functoriality then gives

$$\big|\widehat{h}_{f_t}(P_t) - \widehat{h}_f(P)h(t)\big| \ll d^{-k}h(t) + d^k.$$

   Choosing $d^{2k} \asymp h(t)$ yields the exponent $1/2$.

3. **A canonical divisor on a general base.** Choose homogeneous coordinates F for f and P over $K(B)$. The pole divisors $D_k = D(F^k(P))$ are supported on one finite set, represent $(f^k(P))^*\mathcal{O}(1)$, and satisfy

$$D(F,P) := \lim_{k\to\infty} d^{-k} D_k.$$

   The telescoping inequalities of Lemmas 17–18 control $D_k - d^k D(F,P)$ by a fixed divisor. Moreover $\deg D(F,P) = \widehat{h}_f(P)$, so a height attached to $D(F,P)$ differs from $\widehat{h}_f(P)h_B$ by only $O(h_B^{1/2})$. Write λ_D for the paper's fixed reference Weil height attached linearly to a divisor D.

4. **The cubic presentation cost.** Presentations of both $f^k(P)\colon B \to \mathbb{P}^N$ and the reference-height map attached to D_k have degree and coefficient height $O(d^k)$ (Lemmas 20–21). The comparison theorem for two presentations of the same line bundle is quadratic in their degrees and linear in their heights; hence Lemma 22 obtains the central estimate

$$\left|h(f^k(P)_t) - \lambda_{D_k}(t)\right| = O(d^{3k}).$$

   Together with Lemmas 18 and 23, division by d^k gives

$$\left|\widehat{h}_{f_t}(P_t) - \lambda_{D(F,P)}(t)\right| \ll d^{-k} h_B(t) + d^{2k}.$$

   Taking $d^{3k} \asymp h_B(t)$ proves the 2/3 exponent; the smaller $O(h_B^{1/2})$ divisor-height comparison is then absorbed.

Why the exponents differ

The elementary minimization $H/x + x^a$ with $x = d^k$ is the proof's useful toy model. The sharper $\mathbb{P}^1$ evaluation lemma has $a = 1$, so $x \asymp H^{1/2}$; general-curve presentations have $a = 2$, so $x \asymp H^{1/3}$. What changes on a rational base is therefore not canonical-height functoriality but the presentation estimate; the paper points to the triviality of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(\mathbb{P}^1)$ as the source of the sharper treatment.

Application, hardest point, and scope

For $f\colon \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ over $K(t)$ and $P \in \mathbb{P}^N(K(t))$ with $\widehat{h}_f(P) \neq 0$, Corollary 2 makes the bounded-height consequence explicit: if P_t is preperiodic, then

$$h(t) \le C \max\left\{ \frac{(h^{\mathrm{total}}(P) + h^{\mathrm{total}}(f) + 1)^4}{\widehat{h}_f(P)^2}, h^{\mathrm{total}}(f) + 1 \right\},$$

where C depends only on N and $\deg f$, and h^{total} combines coefficient height with degree in t.

The technically hardest step is the uniform $O(d^{3k})$ comparison on a general curve, not the final optimization. The theorem concerns good fibres and gives no converse to preperiodicity. The paper also treats real eigen-line-bundles and Wehler K3 families (Theorems 10 and 3), obtaining an $O(h^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ specialization error in the spectral-radius case; that separate extension is not developed here.