Hyperelliptic curves on K3 surfaces

Federico Moretti

Federico Moretti, arXiv:2610.17536v1 (2026), 16 pages

https://arxiv.org/abs/2610.17536v1

The incidence surface behind the paper

Let (X, L) be a complex polarized K3 surface with $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbf{Z}L$, $L^2 = 2d$, and let $M = X^{[2]}$ be its Hilbert square. The paper's geometric engine is the following result.

Main theorem (Moretti, Theorems C, A, and B)**.** *There is a rational surface $P \subset X^{[2]}$ whose universal incidence family dominates X. Consequently, any finite collection of general points of X lies on an irreducible curve whose normalization is hyperelliptic. If X is defined over a number field and has geometric Picard rank one, then after a finite field extension its closed points of degree at most two are Zariski dense.*

The first statement is the actual construction; the geometric and arithmetic assertions follow from the same degree-two incidence family.

Ingredient 1: a numerical test for dominant incidence

Write $\mathrm{NS}(M) = \mathbf{Z}h \oplus \mathbf{Z}\delta$, where h comes from L and 2δ is the Hilbert–Chow exceptional divisor. For the universal family $U \subset X \times M$, identify $U \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_\Delta(X \times X)$ and write $\rho : U \to X \times X$ for the blow-up, E_Δ for its exceptional divisor, and $l_i = \rho^* \mathrm{pr}_i^* L$. With projections $p : U \to M$ and $q : U \to X$, Lemma 1.1 computes

$$[P] = ah^2 + bh\delta + c\delta^2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad q_* p^*[P] = (2da - c)[X].$$

Hence incidence over P dominates X exactly when $2da - c > 0$. The calculation uses $p^*h = l_1 + l_2$, $p^*\delta = E_\Delta$, and $\rho_*(E_\Delta^2) = -[\Delta]$. This small intersection formula is the bridge from the birational geometry of $X^{[2]}$ to curves on X.

Ingredient 2: the Hilbert-square MMP produces P

If d is not a square, let (x, y) be the least positive solution of $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$. The second movable boundary is generated by $A = xh - dy\delta$; the orthogonal (-2)-class $e = yh - x\delta$ gives, on a birational model, a divisorial contraction whose exceptional divisor is a rational-curve fibration over a K3 surface S. Restricting it over an integral rational curve $\Gamma \subset S$ gives a rational surface P. Its class satisfies

$$\ell([P]) = \lambda \epsilon dxy > 0,$$

so its incidence dominates. If Mukai flops intervene, either a flopping Lagrangian plane already has dominant incidence, or, for general $p \in X$, the test surface $Z_p = \{\xi : p \in \mathrm{Supp}(\xi)\}$ misses the flopping planes. Its intersection with the strict transform of P then preserves the positive-incidence calculation.

If $d = k^2$, the isotropic class $D = h - k\delta$ induces a Lagrangian fibration to $\mathbf{P}^2$. The fibre over an integral rational member of the associated genus-two linear system is a rational compactified-Jacobian surface with class

$$[P] = D^2 = h^2 - 2kh\delta + k^2\delta^2, \qquad 2d - k^2 = d > 0.$$

For $k = 1$, $X \to \mathbf{P}^2$ itself is a double cover and its fibres define the required plane in $X^{[2]}$.

From P to hyperelliptic curves and quadratic points

After resolving, the restricted universal family is a connected double cover $\widetilde{P}' \to \widetilde{P}$ of a rational surface with a dominant, generically finite map to X. Through any prescribed general points of $\widetilde{P}$ one chooses a sufficiently general rational curve R. Its inverse image is an integral double cover of $R \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$ and maps birationally to a curve on X. When at least two general points are prescribed, the image is neither rational nor elliptic and therefore has hyperelliptic normalization; the one-point case follows by adjoining a second general point. This proves Theorem A.

Over a number field, the relevant rational Lagrangian surfaces of a fixed cohomology class form a finite set, so P is defined over a finite extension and becomes rational after another one. Dense rational points of P then give dense length-two subschemes of X, whose supports have degree at most two. This proves Theorem B; no bound for the required field-extension degree is supplied.

An independent vector-bundle construction

Section 3 gives another contribution, independent of the Hilbert-square MMP. For a very general primitively polarized K3 surface of genus g and any $r \geq 1$, Proposition F constructs a rational covering family of nodal hyperelliptic curves in $|L|$: their geometric genus is $2r$ when $g = r(r+1)$ and $2r+1$ when $g = (r+1)^2$. The construction uses Lazarsfeld–Mukai bundles $E = M_q$ and $F = M_{q+1}$. A multiplication map yields

$$0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow I_{Z_s} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where Z_s has length two. A line in $\mathbf{P}\operatorname{Hom}(E,F)$ therefore sweeps a pencil of such length-two subschemes. Rigidity and the moduli calculation turn this pencil into the asserted rational covering family. This supplies the maximal-genus nodal families in the two numerical series without using the Pell-equation construction above.

The small diagonal and integral torsion

The same incidence, first for Picard-rank-one K3 surfaces and then for all complex projective K3 surfaces by specialization, also recovers the Beauville–Voisin formula. If $c_X \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ is the canonical degree-one class and $c_i = \mathrm{pr}_i^* c_X$, Theorem D states in $\mathrm{CH}^4(X^3)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ that

$$[\Delta_{123}] = ([\Delta_{12}] - c_1)c_3 + ([\Delta_{23}] - c_2)c_1 + ([\Delta_{13}] - c_3)c_2.$$

In the Picard-rank-one calculation, for a resolution $S \to P$, let $Z \subset S \times X$ be the pulled-back degree-two family and let its evaluation map have degree N. Since $\mathrm{CH}_0(S) = \mathbf{Z}$, every fibre has one class $\eta \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$. Intersecting with the non-reduced locus, then using Roitman's theorem and rational curves on X, identifies $\eta = 2c_X$ and also proves that every component of the image of $P \cap E_{\mathrm{HC}}$ is a constant-cycle curve (Corollary E).

The Künneth decomposition of $[Z]$ is inserted into its double and triple incidence cycles. Over the étale locus, the relative square consists of the diagonal and the deck graph, while the relative cube has one all-equal and three two-equal components. Comparing the two expansions cancels the auxiliary intersection number and gives

$$2N\left([\Delta_{123}] - \sum_{\mathrm{cyc}}[\Delta_{12}]c_3 + \sum_{\mathrm{cyc}} c_2c_3\right) = 0.$$

Division by $2N$ proves the rational formula. Before division the proof gives a $2N$-torsion bound integrally; in degree two, and for infinitely many further polarization degrees using two coprime incidence degrees, the bound improves to 2-torsion. The hardest input is not this final cycle algebra but ensuring, in every Pell/square and flop case, that a rational Lagrangian surface with positive incidence actually exists.