

Arithmetic and Dynamical Degrees on Abelian Varieties

Joseph H. Silverman

arXiv:1501.04205

<http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.04205v1>

Dense orbits on an abelian variety

Let A be an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field with a theory of Weil heights (in particular $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$), let H be ample, and let $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ be dominant. For $P \in A$ put

$$\delta(\phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((\phi^n)^* H \cdot H^{\dim A - 1})^{1/n}, \quad \alpha(\phi, P) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_H(\phi^n P)^{1/n}. \quad (1)$$

(The second limit is known to exist here.)

Main theorem (Silverman, Theorem 2). *If the forward ϕ -orbit of P is Zariski dense in A , then*

$$\alpha(\phi, P) = \delta(\phi). \quad (2)$$

Every rational self-map of an abelian variety is a morphism, and every dominant one has the form

$$\phi(R) = f(R) + Q, \quad (3)$$

with f an isogeny. The theorem is the translation-sensitive extension of the previously known case $Q = 0$.

Ingredient I: remove a coboundary translation

Translation acts trivially on $\text{NS}(A)$, hence $\delta(\phi) = \delta(f)$. Suppose first that $mQ = (f - 1)Q'$ for some nonzero integer m . A telescoping calculation gives

$$m\phi^n(P) = f^n(mP + Q') - Q'. \quad (4)$$

Multiplication by m and translation by $-Q'$ preserve Zariski density and do not affect the exponential rate of an ample height. Thus $\alpha(\phi, P) = \alpha(f, mP + Q') = \delta(f)$, where the last equality is the known isogeny theorem. This settles precisely the part on which $f - 1$ is an isogeny.

Ingredient II: isolate the generalized 1-eigenspace

Choose a monic $F \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$ with $F(f) = 0$ and factor

$$F(T) = (T - 1)^s F_2(T), \quad F_2(1) \neq 0.$$

If $s = 0$, the identity $F(T) = (T - 1)G(T) + F(1)$ puts the nonzero multiple $F(1)Q$ in $(f - 1)A$, so (4) applies. If $s \geq 1$, set

$$A_1 = (f - 1)^s A, \quad A_2 = F_2(f)A.$$

Bézout's identity for $(T - 1)^s$ and F_2 shows that addition

$$\lambda : A_1 \times A_2 \longrightarrow A \quad (5)$$

is an isogeny: it is onto and its kernel is finite. Split $Q = Q_1 + Q_2$ and write $\phi_i(R) = f_i(R) + Q_i$ on A_i . Then $\lambda \circ (\phi_1 \times \phi_2) = \phi \circ \lambda$.

Finite equivariance preserves orbit density, dynamical degree, and arithmetic degree; on a product, both degrees are the maximum of the two factor degrees. Consequently a dense orbit of $P = P_1 + P_2$ reduces (2) to

$$\alpha(\phi_i, P_i) = \delta(\phi_i) \quad (i = 1, 2). \quad (6)$$

This finite-cover/product bookkeeping is essential: it lets the two very different growth regimes recombine by a maximum.

Ingredient III: exponential part versus unipotent part

On A_1 , the kernel of $f_1 - 1$ is finite (the resultant bounds it inside a torsion group), so $f_1 - 1$ is surjective. Thus $Q_1 \in (f_1 - 1)A_1$, and the coboundary argument proves the first equality in (6).

On A_2 one has $(f_2 - 1)^s = 0$. Reducing the binomial expansion modulo $(T - 1)^s$ gives polynomials $c_{s,j}(n)$ of degree at most $s - 1$ such that

$$f_2^n = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} c_{s,j}(n) f_2^j. \quad (7)$$

For a symmetric ample divisor, (7) makes the intersection degree of f_2^n at most polynomial in n , so $\delta(f_2) = 1$. Likewise

$$\phi_2^n(P_2) = f_2^n(P_2) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_2^i(Q_2)$$

has canonical-height norm $O(n^s)$; hence $\alpha(\phi_2, P_2) = 1$. Equations (5)–(7) and the maximum formulas now prove the theorem.

Toy model, hard point, and scope

On an elliptic curve, $\phi(P) = [a]P + Q$ displays both regimes. If $|a| \geq 2$, then $[a] - 1$ is an isogeny, the translation is removed after a finite multiplication, and a dense orbit has $\alpha = \delta = a^2$. If $a = 1$, then $\phi^n(P) = P + nQ$; the canonical height is quadratic in n , but its n th-root growth is 1. The general proof is this dichotomy after an isogeny decomposition.

The delicate point is not computing δ : translation is invisible to $\text{NS}(A)$. It is proving that the interaction of P and Q cannot change the exponential height rate, especially on the generalized 1-eigenspace. The theorem assumes the orbit is dense; it does not supply such a point. Over countable fields, deciding whether one exists may itself require Mordell–Lang. Nor does the note reprove the isogeny case used in (4).