

The Fano variety of lines and rationality problem for a cubic hypersurface

Sergey Galkin, Evgeny Shinder

arXiv:1405.5154

<http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.5154v2>

A cubic and its family of lines

Let $Y \subset \mathbf{P}_k^{d+1}$ be a cubic hypersurface and let $F(Y)$ be the reduced Fano scheme of lines contained in Y . Write $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbf{A}^1]$ in the Grothendieck ring $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$, and denote by $Y^{[2]}$ the Hilbert scheme of length-two subschemes.

Main theorem (Galkin–Shinder, Theorems 5.1, 7.1, and 7.5). *For every reduced cubic d -fold over an arbitrary field,*

$$[Y^{[2]}] = [\mathbf{P}^d][Y] + \mathbb{L}^2[F(Y)]. \quad (1)$$

Now let k have characteristic zero and assume the paper’s Cancellation Conjecture: $\mathbb{L}$ is not a zero divisor in $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$. If a smooth cubic Y of dimension $d \geq 3$ is rational, then $F(Y)$ is stably birational to either $V \times V'$ or $V^{[2]}$ for $(d-2)$ -folds V, V' . If $d = 4$, only the second case can occur and

$$F(Y) \dashrightarrow S^{[2]} \quad (2)$$

is birational for a K3 surface S/k . Consequently, subject to the same conjecture over $\mathbf{C}$, a very general smooth cubic fourfold is irrational.

Thus (1) is unconditional; the rationality consequences depend essentially on cancellation.

Ingredient I: the residual third point

Consider the incidence variety

$$W = \{(x, L) : x \in Y, L \subset \mathbf{P}^{d+1}, x \in L\}.$$

A general $\tau \in Y^{[2]}$ spans a unique line L_τ . Since Y is cubic, the residual intersection of L_τ with Y is a third point x ; this gives a birational correspondence $Y^{[2]} \dashrightarrow W$. It fails precisely when $L_\tau \subset Y$.

Over $F(Y)$, the exceptional locus on the Hilbert side is $\text{Sym}^2(\mathbf{P}^1) = \mathbf{P}^2$, whereas on the incidence side it is the universal line $\mathbf{P}^1$. Since $W \rightarrow Y$ is a $\mathbf{P}^d$ -bundle, scissors relations give

$$[Y^{[2]}] - [\mathbf{P}^2][F(Y)] = [\mathbf{P}^d][Y] - [\mathbf{P}^1][F(Y)],$$

and $[\mathbf{P}^2] - [\mathbf{P}^1] = \mathbb{L}^2$ proves (1). This fiber calculation is the geometric core of the paper.

Ingredient II: rational defect and cancellation

In the localized ring $K_0(\text{Var}/k)[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$, define the rational defect

$$M_Y = \frac{[Y] - [\mathbf{P}^d]}{\mathbb{L}}.$$

Theorem 5.6 rewrites (1), for smooth Y , in that localized ring as

$$[F(Y)] = \text{Sym}^2(M_Y + [\mathbf{P}^{d-2}]) - \mathbb{L}^{d-2}. \quad (3)$$

If Y is rational, weak factorization yields an actual expression

$$M_Y = \sum_i [V_i] - \sum_j [W_j], \quad (4)$$

with all V_i, W_j smooth projective of dimension $d-2$. Cancellation is what makes the corresponding identity valid in the unlocalized Grothendieck ring.

Expand the symmetric square in (3) using (4), then reduce modulo $\mathbb{L}$. For a smooth projective V , its symmetric square and Hilbert square are congruent modulo $\mathbb{L}$. Hence $[F(Y)]$ becomes a signed sum of classes of $V_i^{[2]}$ and products $V_i \times V_{i'}$ (and the corresponding W_j terms). Larsen–Lunts identifies $K_0(\text{Var}/k)/(\mathbb{L})$ with the free abelian group on stable birational classes. Because $F(Y)$ is irreducible, its class must match one of those Hilbert-square or product classes: this is stable decomposability.

A second output: the full Hodge structure

For a smooth complex cubic, set

$$H_Y = H^d(Y, \mathbf{Q})_{\text{prim}}(1).$$

Theorem 6.1 gives the polarizable rational Hodge-structure isomorphism

$$H^*(F(Y), \mathbf{Q}) \simeq \text{Sym}^2(H_Y) \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-2} H_Y(-k) \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{2d-4} \mathbf{Q}(-k)^{a_k}, \quad (5)$$

where the paper gives the elementary piecewise multiplicities a_k (omitted here). Applying the Hodge realization to Corollary 5.7 produces the equality of classes; semisimplicity of polarizable Hodge structures upgrades it to the displayed isomorphism. Thus the motivic identity determines every rational Hodge number of $F(Y)$ from the primitive cohomology of Y .

Ingredient III: why dimension four forces a K3

For a smooth cubic fourfold, $F(Y)$ is a non-uniruled fourfold; therefore stable birational equivalence with another fourfold is birational equivalence. Its birational Hodge polynomial is

$$\Psi_{F(Y)}(t) = 1 + t^2 + t^4. \quad (6)$$

The polynomial has no nontrivial factorization with positive integral coefficients, excluding a product of two surfaces. If $F(Y) \dashrightarrow S^{[2]}$, the Hilbert-square formula applied to (6) forces

$$q(S) = 0, \quad p_g(S) = 1.$$

The Hodge comparison alone does not determine Kodaira dimension. Instead, $K_{F(Y)}$ is trivial, so birationality gives $\kappa(S^{[2]}) = \kappa(F(Y)) = 0$; the symmetric-power Kodaira-dimension result invoked in Proposition 4.5 then yields $\kappa(S) = 0$. After passing to a minimal model, surface classification leaves exactly a K3 surface. Moreover such decomposability makes Y special and the K3 is associated to Y in the Hodge-theoretic sense. Since very general cubic fourfolds are not special, (2) contradicts rationality under cancellation.

Hard point, toy geometry, and limitations

The toy geometry behind every formula is a secant line: two points on a cubic determine the third. Lines lying wholly in the cubic are exactly the degenerate locus, and replacing a $\mathbf{P}^1$ -fiber by a $\mathbf{P}^2$ -fiber explains the correction $\mathbb{L}^2[F(Y)]$ without cohomological machinery.

The difficult passage is from this motivic identity to a birational statement. It uses weak factorization to control the dimensions in (4), cancellation to remove powers of $\mathbb{L}$, and the freeness of stable birational classes modulo $\mathbb{L}$. The paper does not prove its Cancellation Conjecture; therefore it does not unconditionally prove irrationality of a very general cubic fourfold. In dimensions $d \geq 5$, $F(Y)$ is uniruled and the Hodge polynomial used in (6) vanishes, so the paper's stable-decomposability criterion is not shown there to obstruct rationality.