

Fano varieties of cubic fourfolds containing a plane

Emanuele Macri, Paolo Stellari

arXiv:0909.2725v2 (2011), 26 pages

<http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.2725v2>

One-sentence summary. Macri and Stellari identify the Fano variety of lines on a generic cubic fourfold containing a plane with a moduli space of stable objects on a twisted K3 surface, and show that one Bridgeland wall separates this model from a moduli space of twisted sheaves.

From a cubic fourfold to a twisted K3 surface

Let $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ be a smooth cubic fourfold containing a plane P , and let $F(Y)$ be its four-dimensional Fano variety of lines. Projection from P , after blowing up, gives a quadric-surface fibration $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$. When its discriminant sextic C is smooth, the double cover $f : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ branched along C is a K3 surface. The two rulings of the quadrics determine a Brauer class $\beta \in \text{Br}(S)[2]$; this twist is generally nontrivial. Write h for the hyperplane class on $\mathbf{P}^2$ and choose a rational B -field lift $B \in H^2(S, \mathbf{Q})$ of β .

Main theorem (Macri–Stellari, Theorems 1.3 and 1.4). *If Y is generic in the divisor of cubic fourfolds containing a plane, then $F(Y)$ is isomorphic to a moduli space of stable objects in $D^b(S, \beta)$. For every cubic fourfold Y containing a plane, $F(Y)$ is birational to a smooth projective moduli space of twisted sheaves on a K3 surface. If Y is very generic, the latter birational map is either an isomorphism or a Mukai flop.*

Here “generic” means outside a countable union of divisors in the special-cubic divisor $\mathcal{C}_8$; “very generic” means $H^4(Y, \mathbf{Z}) \cap H^{2,2}(Y) = \langle H^2, P \rangle$.

Ingredient I: transport each line through the K3 category

The Kuznetsov component

$$\mathcal{T}_Y = \langle \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(H), \mathcal{O}_Y(2H) \rangle^\perp$$

is equivalent to $D^b(S, \beta)$. A line $l \subset Y$ first gives a stable sheaf $F_l \in \mathcal{T}_Y$; mutations and the equivalence carry it to an object $J_l \in D^b(S, \beta)$. Proposition 2.6 is the decisive calculation: if $l \not\subset P$, then J_l is a pure one-dimensional twisted sheaf, whereas a line $l \subset P$ gives a triangle

$$\mathcal{E}_0[1] \longrightarrow J_l \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_1.$$

Here $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ are the rank-two locally free β -twisted objects transported from the even and odd Clifford modules of the quadric fibration. All the J_l have one primitive twisted Mukai vector

$$v := v^B(J_l) = (0, f^*h, s), \quad s \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Thus the exceptional plane $P^\vee \subset F(Y)$ of lines lying in P is exactly where the family ceases to consist of sheaves.

Ingredient II: the sheaf model is birational

Assume the discriminant is smooth and f^*h is indecomposable. Off $P^\vee$, the sheaves J_l are stable, so Proposition 3.4 identifies

$$F(Y) \setminus P^\vee \simeq U' \subset M(S, v, B),$$

where $M(S, v, B)$ is a smooth projective moduli space of stable β -twisted sheaves. This gives a birational map. If it is not already regular, flopping $P^\vee$ reverses the sign of an ample divisor on the contracted rational curves, and the resulting map is an isomorphism. For an arbitrary Y containing a plane, Section 3.3 instead constructs a Hodge isometry between $H^2(F(Y), \mathbf{Z})$ and the second cohomology of a suitable twisted-sheaf moduli space; birational Torelli for hyperkähler manifolds then proves the general part of Theorem 1.4.

Ingredient III: cross the unique stability wall

For a very generic Y , the authors tilt $\mathrm{Coh}(S, \beta)$ to a heart $\mathcal{A}$ and define stability conditions $\sigma_m = (Z_m, \mathcal{A})$ for $m > 1/2$. The spherical objects $\mathcal{E}_0[1]$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ remain stable, and their central charges align at

$$m_0 = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{4}.$$

For $l \subset P$, let K_l be the cokernel of the corresponding injection $\mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$; off P , put $K_l = J_l$. Lemma 5.7 shows that K_l is stable for $m > m_0$, while at m_0 the only exceptional objects are those with $l \subset P$, with Jordan–Hölder factors $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_0[1]$. Just below the wall the opposite extensions J_l are stable. Hence wall crossing replaces the plane of sheaf extensions by the dual plane of complex extensions—precisely the Mukai flop relating $M(S, v, B)$ and $F(Y)$.

The destabilizer analysis is the hardest technical point. Since the order-two Brauer class forces ranks of twisted sheaves to be even, the imaginary part of a possible subobject’s central charge has only three values. Purity excludes one, phase excludes another, and the Hodge index theorem bounds the remaining real part; equality occurs only at m_0 and only for the two spherical factors above.

Why the constructed family is the whole moduli space

Producing stable J_l gives only a map into a moduli space. Lemmas 5.8–5.9 close the gap: stability cannot be lost as m increases past m_0 , and an object stable for all large m must be a pure stable one-dimensional twisted sheaf. Irreducibility of $M(S, v, B)$ handles the stable-at-the-wall case; in the strictly semistable case the two spherical factors reconstruct the extension belonging to a unique line in P . Thus, for $m \in (\epsilon, m_0)$, every σ_m -semistable object in $\mathcal{A}$ with vector v is some J_l , proving the isomorphism with $F(Y)$ rather than merely an open embedding.

Scope and caveats

The explicit wall calculation uses the very-generic hypotheses, including nontrivial β and indecomposable f^*h ; the all- Y birational statement uses a deformation and Hodge-theoretic K3 surface instead. The twist is essential: Proposition 4.1 rules out an untwisted sheaf model for a very generic Y . The paper relates untwisted models to equivalences of Kuznetsov components, but it does not prove rationality of the cubic fourfold or the general rationality conjecture.