

A finiteness property for preperiodic points of Chebyshev polynomials

Su-Ion Ih, Thomas J. Tucker

arXiv:0805.1554v1 (2008), 12 pages

<http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.1554v1>

One-sentence summary. Ih and Tucker prove that a non-preperiodic algebraic point has only finitely many Chebyshev-preperiodic points that are S -integral relative to it, using local equidistribution, Baker's theorem, and the global product formula.

Chebyshev dynamics and relative integrality

Define $P_1(z) = z$, $P_2(z) = z^2 - 2$, and $P_{m+1}(z) + P_{m-1}(z) = zP_m(z)$; a Chebyshev polynomial is any $\phi = P_m$ with $m \geq 2$. Its decisive semiconjugacy is

$$P_m(w + w^{-1}) = w^m + w^{-m}.$$

Consequently its finite preperiodic points are exactly $\zeta + \zeta^{-1}$ with ζ a root of unity, and its complex Julia set is $[-2, 2]$.

Let K be a number field and let S be a finite set containing all archimedean places. A point β is S -integral relative to α when, outside S , every conjugate of β remains disjoint from every conjugate of α after reduction; in affine coordinates this is the pair of valuation conditions spelled out in the introduction of the paper.

Main theorem (Ih–Tucker, Theorem 1.0.2). *Let ϕ be a Chebyshev polynomial, and let $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ not be of the form $\zeta + \zeta^{-1}$ for a root of unity ζ . Then only finitely many ϕ -preperiodic $x \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ are S -integral relative to α .*

By the classification above, the hypothesis on α is precisely non-preperiodicity. The result verifies Ih's finiteness conjecture for this family even when an archimedean conjugate of α lies on the Julia set.

The local limit identity

For the proof, replace K by a finite extension containing α and replace S by the places above it. For a place v of this field, write $\widehat{h}_v$ for the local canonical height. The engine of the proof is Theorem 1.0.3: for any nonrepeating sequence x_n of preperiodic points,

$$\widehat{h}_v(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[K(x_n) : K]} \sum_{\sigma: K(x_n)/K \hookrightarrow \overline{K}_v} \log |\sigma(x_n) - \alpha|_v. \quad (*)$$

Three cases establish (*). At a finite place, good reduction gives $\widehat{h}_v(\alpha) = \log \max\{|\alpha|_v, 1\}$; elementary separation of distinct roots of unity shows that only finitely many x_n can enter any fixed smaller v -adic ball around α . At an archimedean place with $\alpha \notin [-2, 2]$, the logarithm is continuous on the Julia set, so equidistribution applies directly.

The remaining case, $\alpha \in [-2, 2]$, is the hard one. The invariant measure is the arcsine law

$$d\mu(x) = \frac{dx}{\pi\sqrt{4-x^2}}, \quad \widehat{h}_v(\alpha) = \int_{-2}^2 \log |x - \alpha| d\mu(x) = 0,$$

but the logarithm is singular at α , so weak equidistribution alone does not control the required averages.

How the singularity is controlled

First truncate to $g_\delta(x) = \log \max\{|x - \alpha|, \delta\}$. Equidistribution handles g_δ ; what remains is the contribution of conjugates in $[\alpha - \delta, \alpha + \delta]$. Lemma 3.1.1 counts such conjugates in short intervals with an error $O([K(x_n) : K]^\gamma)$ and the correct arcsine main term.

Write $x_n = \zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1} = 2 \cos(2\pi a/N)$, where ζ_n has exact order N and $\gcd(a, N) = 1$, and write $\alpha = 2 \cos(2\pi\theta_0)$. Baker's lower bound for linear forms in logarithms gives, uniformly over the relevant conjugates,

$$0 \geq \log |\sigma(x_n) - \alpha| \geq -C \log N.$$

Partitioning the δ -neighborhood into D short intervals controls all but the central one by the counting lemma; Baker controls the central interval. Put $M_n = [K(x_n) : K]$. The real cyclotomic degree gives $M_n \gg_K \varphi(N) \geq \sqrt{N}$. Taking $D = \lfloor M_n^{1/4} \rfloor$ makes the side error $O(D \log D / \sqrt{M_n})$ and the central errors $O((\log N)/D + (\log N)/\sqrt{M_n})$ tend to zero. (This is the choice supported by the displayed estimates; the paper prints $D = \lfloor N^{1/4} \rfloor$.) Thus (*) holds on the Julia set.

Proof roadmap and the global contradiction

Assume that infinitely many admissible preperiodic points x_n exist. Enlarge S so that it also contains every v with $|\alpha|_v > 1$. Relative integrality then gives $\log |\sigma(x_n) - \alpha|_v = 0$ outside S . Summing (*) over the finite set S , interchanging its sum with the limit, and then restoring the zero terms outside S yields

$$\frac{1}{[K : \mathbf{Q}]} \widehat{h}_\phi(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[K(x_n) : \mathbf{Q}]} \sum_v \sum_\sigma \log |\sigma(x_n) - \alpha|_v = 0$$

by the product formula. This contradicts $\widehat{h}_\phi(\alpha) > 0$ for a non-preperiodic point.

Toy model, difficulty, and scope

For $P_2(2 \cos \theta) = 2 \cos(2\theta)$, roots of unity become rational angles and the singular term near $\alpha = 2 \cos(2\pi\theta_0)$ behaves like $\log |a/N - \theta_0|$. Baker's theorem says that algebraic $e^{2\pi i \theta_0}$ cannot be approximated too well by those rational angles. This captures the central obstruction, but the full proof must also average entire Galois orbits with the nonuniform arcsine density.

The paper proves finiteness, not an effective bound on the number or degree of integral preperiodic points. Its special structure comes from the quotient $w \mapsto w + w^{-1}$; the argument is not asserted for arbitrary rational maps, although the local-to-global strategy is broader.