

Projective toric varieties as fine moduli spaces of quiver representations

Alastair Craw, Gregory G. Smith

Alastair Craw and Gregory G. Smith, arXiv:math/0608183v2 (2007), 22 pages

<http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0608183v2>

One-sentence summary. Every projective toric variety is a fine moduli space of stable representations of a suitable bound quiver, with the chosen line bundles reappearing as the tautological bundles.

From line bundles to a bound quiver

Let X be a projective toric variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and choose $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{O}_X, L_1, \dots, L_r)$. Its complete quiver of sections Q has a vertex for each L_i and an arrow $i \rightarrow j$ for each indecomposable torus-invariant section of $L_j \otimes L_i^{-1}$. Paths with the same endpoints and the same labelled divisor give relations $p - p' \in R$; in fact

$$\mathbf{k}Q/R \cong \text{End} \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^r L_i \right).$$

For the dimension vector $(1, \dots, 1)$ and distinguished weight $\vartheta = \sum_{i=1}^r (\chi_i - \chi_0)$, write $\mathcal{M}_\vartheta(Q, R)$ for the fine moduli space of stable representations.

Main theorem (Craw–Smith, Theorems 1.2 and 5.5). *Every projective toric variety X admits many lists $\mathcal{L}$ for which the section-coordinate map induces an isomorphism*

$$X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_\vartheta(Q, R).$$

Under this isomorphism the tautological line bundles are precisely $\mathcal{O}_X, L_1, \dots, L_r$.

More constructively, suppose basepoint-free $L_1, \dots, L_{r-2}$ generate a semigroup in $\text{Pic}(X)$ containing an ample bundle. Two further line bundles L_{r-1}, L_r can be chosen so that the resulting list has this fine-moduli property.

This separates the fine-moduli question from the existence of a complete strong exceptional collection: the theorem applies to every projective toric variety without asserting such a collection.

Two equations for the same locus

The arrow affine space has coordinate ring $S_Y = \mathbf{k}[y_a : a \in Q_1]$ and is acted on by the vertex torus G . The quiver alone defines a smooth projective toric GIT quotient $Y = |\mathcal{L}|$, the *multilinear series*. Arrow labels give $\Phi_Q : S_Y \rightarrow S_X$, $y_a \mapsto x^{\text{div}(a)}$, and a map $\varphi_Q : X \rightarrow Y$ whenever the quiver is basepoint-free.

There are two binomial ideals. The toric ideal I_Q records the kernel of the combined incidence-and-divisor map; its quotient presents $\varphi_Q(X)$. The smaller path-relation ideal I_R cuts out representations of (Q, R) . If B_Y is the irrelevant ideal, Theorem 5.4 identifies the decisive equality:

$$I_Q = (I_R : B_Y^\infty) \iff X \cong \mathcal{M}_\theta(Q, R) \text{ for every } \theta \text{ in the chamber containing } \vartheta.$$

Saturation is essential: it discards components of $V(I_R)$ supported in the unstable coordinate locus.

Proof architecture

1. **Make the section map an embedding.** Choose a sufficiently positive product $L_{r-1} = L_1^{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{r-2}^{b_{r-2}}$ that is multigraded-regular, and put $L_r = L_{r-1}^2$. Regularity makes the relevant multiplication maps on global sections surjective; the product $\bigotimes_i L_i$ is very ample. The closed-embedding criterion of Proposition 4.9 then makes Q a very ample quiver of sections.
2. **Generate the toric lattice by path relations.** An element of $\ker(\text{inc}, \text{div})$ is a circulation, hence a sum of circuits. The proof “elongates” every circuit to an alternating sum of directed paths from the source 0 to the last vertex r . Regularity forces those paths through $r-1$ and matches paths to arrows $r-1 \rightarrow r$ with the same divisor. Quadratic generation for the toric ideal of L_{r-1} then rewrites the circulation as differences of equal-divisor paths, proving

$$I_Q = \left(I_R : \left(\prod_{a \in Q_1} y_a \right)^\infty \right).$$

3. **Replace total inversion by the irrelevant ideal.** The monomial generators of B_Y correspond to spanning trees rooted at 0. After localizing at any such tree monomial, an interior lattice point of the polytope of L_{r-1} and the path relations make every arrow coordinate invertible. Thus saturation by each tree monomial already equals saturation by the product of all arrows, giving $I_Q = (I_R : B_Y^\infty)$. Theorem 5.4 now identifies the two GIT quotients.

Finally, the equivariant line bundle of vertex weight $\chi_i - \chi_0$ descends to the i th tautological bundle on Y . Restricting along $X \hookrightarrow Y$ recovers L_i , which proves the last assertion of the theorem.

A small warning from $\mathbf{P}^1$

For $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(2))$, the quiver has only arrows of length one, so $I_R = 0$, while the conic image has a nonzero toric equation such as $y_0 y_2 - y_1^2$. This list is not fine. Adding $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(4)$ introduces enough composable paths; after irrelevant-ideal saturation their relations recover the conic equations, and the enlarged list is fine. Thus the theorem guarantees suitable lists, not that every natural list works, and the saturation step is geometric rather than cosmetic.