

Hölder estimates and uniformity in arithmetic dynamics

Thomas Gauthier

Thomas Gauthier, arXiv:2407.13275v2 (2024), 23 pages

<http://arxiv.org/abs/2407.13275v2>

One-sentence summary. For fixed degrees, a Zariski-general pair of rational maps on the projective line has only uniformly many common preperiodic points, even when the two degrees are different.

The uniformity problem

For $d \geq 2$, let Rat_d be the affine parameter space of degree- d endomorphisms of $\mathbf{P}^1$, and put

$$\text{Preper}(f) = \{z \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C}) : f^n(z) = f^m(z) \text{ for some } n > m\}.$$

A pair can certainly have infinitely many common preperiodic points: for $f(z) = z^{d_1}$ and $g(z) = z^{d_2}$, every root of unity is common preperiodic. The theorem says that such behavior lies in a proper algebraic exceptional set.

Main theorem (Gauthier, Theorem A). *For every $d_1, d_2 \geq 2$, there are a dense Zariski open subset*

$$V \subseteq \text{Rat}_{d_1} \times \text{Rat}_{d_2}$$

and an integer $N \geq 1$ such that every $(f, g) \in V(\mathbf{C})$ satisfies

$$\#(\text{Preper}(f) \cap \text{Preper}(g)) \leq N.$$

The quantifiers matter: V and N depend only on the two degrees, not on the coefficients or field of definition of the maps.

Pairing heights with finite sets

For a rational map f over a number field K , its canonical adelic metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1)$ is denoted $\bar{L}_f$, and its height is $\hat{h}_f$. The Arakelov–Zhang pairing is

$$\langle f, g \rangle = -\frac{1}{2[K:\mathbf{Q}]}(\bar{L}_f - \bar{L}_g)^2 \geq 0.$$

For $\bar{L} = (\bar{L}_f + \bar{L}_g)/2$, Lemma 2.3 identifies

$$h_{\bar{L}}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{h}_f(x) + \hat{h}_g(x)), \quad h_{\bar{L}}(\mathbf{P}^1) = \frac{1}{2}\langle f, g \rangle.$$

The quantitative engine is Theorem B. There is $A = A(d_1, d_2)$ such that, for $0 < \delta < 1$ and every finite Galois-invariant $E \subset \mathbf{A}^1(\bar{\mathbf{Q}})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle &\leq A \left(\delta - \frac{\log \delta}{\#E} \right) (h_{\text{Rat}_{d_1}}(f) + h_{\text{Rat}_{d_2}}(g) + 1) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\#E} \sum_{x \in E} (\hat{h}_f(x) + \hat{h}_g(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus a large set of common preperiodic points makes the last term vanish and forces the pairing to be small relative to the parameter height.

Three proof ingredients

1. **Uniform local regularity.** For a homogeneous lift F of an endomorphism, the Green function $g_F = \sum_{j \geq 0} d^{-j} u_F \circ f^j$ is Hölder continuous with an exponent and constant controlled explicitly by $\|F\|$ and $\text{Res}(F)$ (Theorem 3.1). Bounds for u_F and the Lipschitz constant of f turn the convergent Green series into the needed Hölder estimate at every place.
2. **Adelic smoothing and energy.** Theorem 4.1 replaces each atom of a finite Galois set by a small v -adic disc. The arithmetic Hodge index theorem compares this smoothed metric with $\bar{L}$; Hölder regularity controls the smoothing error, while the product formula cancels the off-diagonal logarithmic energies. Choosing the radii as powers of δ gives Theorem B and explains why both δ and $-\log(\delta)/\#E$ occur.
3. **Positivity on parameter space.** On $X_{d_1, d_2} = (\text{Rat}_{d_1} \times \text{Rat}_{d_2})/\text{PGL}_2$, the complex local energy descends to a continuous plurisubharmonic function u . Its top Monge–Ampère measure is nonzero (Lemma 5.5), including both multiplicatively dependent and independent degree pairs. Yuan–Zhang equidistribution then rules out a generic sequence with $\langle f_n, g_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$: equidistribution would put positive mass where $u > 0$, whereas the small pairing forces its u -integral to vanish. Hence $\langle f, g \rangle \geq \varepsilon > 0$ on a dense open part of the quotient.

From positive energy to a count

Lemma 6.1 combines that uniform positive lower bound, the linear lower bound of the pairing by a parameter height, and Theorem B. If the parameter height is large, choose δ as a fixed fraction of the height coefficient; if it is bounded, choose δ as a fixed fraction of ε . In either regime, too many points of very small $\hat{h}_f + \hat{h}_g$ contradict the positive pairing. Common preperiodic points have height zero, giving a degree-dependent bound; the paper then invokes a specialization step to obtain the stated result over $\mathbf{C}$.

Scope

The result is generic, not a bound for every pair, and the power-map example shows that an exceptional locus is unavoidable. It asserts existence of V and N , not a displayed numerical value for N . The delicate new input is the parameter-uniform Hölder control that makes the adelic energy inequality work for maps of possibly unequal, even multiplicatively independent, degrees.