

Distribution of preperiodic points in one-parameter families of rational maps

Matt Olechnowicz

Matt Olechnowicz, arXiv:2306.00933v3 (2025), 43 pages

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.00933v3>

One-sentence summary. For a one-parameter family of rational maps over a number field, specialization creates new rational preperiodic points only on a set of parameters of height density zero.

The specialization problem

Let K be a number field, let $f \in K(T)(z)$ have degree $d \geq 2$, and let $U \subset \mathbf{A}^1$ be the parameters at which specialization gives a degree- d map $f_t \in K(z)$. Put

$$\Gamma = \text{PrePer}(f, K(T)), \quad \Gamma_t = \text{PrePer}(f_t, K).$$

Specialization always defines a map $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_t$. The exceptional set $E \subset U(K)$ consists of those t for which this map is not bijective. For the absolute multiplicative height H on $\mathbf{P}^1(K)$, write

$$\mathcal{N}(A, X) = \#\{t \in A : H(t) \leq X\}.$$

Schanuel's theorem gives $\mathcal{N}(K, X) \asymp X^{2[K:\mathbf{Q}]}$.

Main theorem (Olechnowicz, Theorem 4.1). *For every one-parameter family as above,*

$$\mathcal{N}(E, X) = o(\mathcal{N}(K, X)).$$

Thus the specialized K -rational portrait equals the generic $K(T)$ -rational portrait for a height-density-one set of parameters.

This is unconditional: it does not assume uniform boundedness of rational preperiodic points. It says more than persistence of generic points, because it rules out almost all new rational branches and cycles after specialization.

Three proof ingredients

1. **Generic points almost never collide.** The injectivity locus of $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_t$ is cofinite (Lemma 3.9). In the non-isotrivial case, Baker's function-field Northcott theorem makes Γ finite. Writing its points as $P_i = (a_i : b_i)$, two specializations collide only at a zero of

$$D_\Gamma(T) = \prod_{i < j} (a_j b_i - a_i b_j).$$

The isotrivial case is handled by conjugating to a constant map and comparing good reduction at places above $T - t$. Hence the real issue is surjectivity: can f_t acquire new rational preperiodic points?

2. **New portrait vertices are equivalent to long cycles up to a thin set.** Let Z_ℓ be the parameters for which f_t has a rational cycle longer than ℓ , and take ℓ at least the largest generic period. Lemma 3.11 shows that failure of surjectivity and membership in Z_ℓ differ by a thin set. The key auxiliary polynomial is

$$\Phi = f^{\ell!+m_0+1} \wedge f^{m_0+1},$$

where m_0 is the largest generic tail length. Factor $\Phi = \Phi_0 \Phi_1$ so that Φ_0 contains all $K(T)$ -rational roots and Φ_1 has none. If $t \notin Z_\ell$ but a new preperiodic point appears, an iterate of it is a K -rational root of $(\Phi_1)_t$. Hilbert irreducibility makes such parameters thin. Since thin subsets of K contribute $O(X^{[K:\mathbf{Q}]})$, Corollary 3.14 gives, for all large ℓ ,

$$\mathcal{N}(E, X) = \mathcal{N}(Z_\ell, X) + O(\mathcal{N}(K, X)^{1/2}).$$

3. **A good-reduction prime sieves out long cycles.** Choose an integral lift of f with resultant polynomial $R(T)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ where the coefficients are integral, $\overline{R}$ is nonzero, and

$$\frac{\deg R + 1}{\mathrm{Nm} \mathfrak{p} + 1} < \varepsilon.$$

At good reduction, Proposition 4.3 bounds every K -rational cycle length by $3e_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{Nm} \mathfrak{p})^2$. Therefore, once ℓ exceeds this bound, every $t \in Z_{\ell}$ has bad reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$. Lemma 4.2 then forces

$$\bar{t} \in V(\overline{R})(\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cup \{\infty\},$$

at most $\deg R + 1$ residue classes. A refined Schanuel estimate says each class has asymptotic proportion $1/(\mathrm{Nm} \mathfrak{p} + 1)$ among parameters ordered by height. Thus

$$\limsup_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{N}(Z_{\ell}, X)}{\mathcal{N}(K, X)} \leq \frac{\deg R + 1}{\mathrm{Nm} \mathfrak{p} + 1} < \varepsilon.$$

Because primes of arbitrarily large norm are available, ε is arbitrary; the theorem follows.

Toy sieve

If a set of integers is contained modulo a prime p in at most r residue classes, its natural density is at most r/p . Here $r = \deg R + 1$ is fixed while the proof may choose primes of growing norm. The arithmetic-geometric work is what turns a long dynamical cycle into this elementary congruence restriction.

Hardest point and caveats

The conceptual bottleneck is the bridge from an arbitrary new preperiodic branch to either a long cycle or a root of a generically rootless factor Φ_1 ; Hilbert irreducibility then makes the latter error thin. The local cycle bound and equidistribution of bounded-height parameters in residue classes complete the sieve.

The theorem supplies little- o rather than a power-saving rate. Nor does density zero by itself prove that the average size of Γ_t equals $\#\Gamma$: rare exceptional portraits could be very large. The paper's later moment bounds, denominator lemmas, and detailed quadratic-family asymptotics address that stronger problem and are omitted here.