

Rational Preperiodic Points of Quadratic Rational Maps over $\mathbf{Q}$ with Nonabelian Automorphism Groups

Hasan Bilgili, Mohammad Sadek

Hasan Bilgili and Mohammad Sadek, arXiv:2603.06203v3 (2026), 16 pages

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2603.06203v3>

One-sentence summary. Nonabelian symmetry reduces every quadratic map in the paper to a twist of $z \mapsto 1/z^2$; this rules out rational cycles longer than three and, after an explicit analysis of short cycles and their tails, gives the sharp bound 6 for rational preperiodic points.

The problem and the normal form

For $f \in \mathbf{Q}(z)$ of degree 2, write $\text{PrePer}(f, \mathbf{Q})$ for the points of $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q})$ having finite forward orbit. The general uniform boundedness conjecture asks for a bound depending only on the degree and the ground field. Here the extra hypothesis is that $\text{Aut}(f) \subset \text{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ is the nonabelian group S_3 .

The classification of such twists puts f , after conjugation over $\mathbf{Q}$, in the form

$$\theta_{d,k}(z) = \frac{kz^2 - 2dz + dk}{z^2 - 2kz + d}, \quad k, d \in \mathbf{Q}, \quad d \neq 0, \quad k^2 \neq d.$$

The two parameters retain arithmetic information even though all these maps become conjugate over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ to $g(z) = 1/z^2$.

Main theorem (Bilgili–Sadek, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2). *Let $f: \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ be a quadratic rational map over $\mathbf{Q}$ with $\text{Aut}(f) \simeq S_3$. Then f has no $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational periodic point of exact period $N > 3$, and*

$$|\text{PrePer}(f, \mathbf{Q})| \leq 6.$$

The bound is attained; the paper displays five realizable nonempty portraits.

The first assertion is strikingly conceptual. The second is a finite but technically substantial classification of everything that can feed into the remaining cycles.

The root-of-unity obstruction to long cycles

Suppose that f had a rational n -cycle with $n \geq 4$. Choose $\gamma \in \text{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ with $f = \gamma g \gamma^{-1}$. A corresponding cycle for g is

$$\zeta \mapsto \zeta^{-2} \mapsto \zeta^4 \mapsto \zeta^{-8} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \zeta,$$

where ζ is a root of unity of odd order. Because the first three images under γ are rational, a map $\gamma' \in \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{Q})$ sends them to $0, \infty, 1$. Thus $\eta = \gamma' \gamma$ is forced to be

$$\eta(z) = \frac{z - \zeta}{z - \zeta^{-2}} \frac{\zeta^4 - \zeta^{-2}}{\zeta^4 - \zeta}.$$

The fourth point of the f -cycle is also rational, hence

$$\eta(\zeta^{-8}) = \zeta^3 + 1 + \zeta^{-3} \in \mathbf{Q}.$$

Since the order of ζ is odd, $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^2$ is a Galois automorphism of its cyclotomic field. Applying it to the rational value gives

$$\zeta^6 + \zeta^{-6} = \zeta^3 + \zeta^{-3}.$$

With $x = \zeta^3$ and $u = x + x^{-1}$, this says $u^2 - 2 = u$, so $u = 2$ or $u = -1$. Therefore $x = 1$ or x is a primitive cube root of unity, and in either case $\zeta^9 = 1$. But exponentiation by -2 on ninth roots of unity has orbit length at most 3, contradicting $n \geq 4$. This proves the period bound.

How the six-point bound is assembled

Three further ingredients turn the period bound into a complete portrait analysis.

1. **A two-to-one fibre involution.** A direct calculation gives, for distinct nonzero points,

$$\theta_{d,k}(z_1) = \theta_{d,k}(z_2) \iff z_2 = d/z_1.$$

Thus every rational periodic point z normally brings with it the rational alternate preimage d/z . In particular, each rational fixed point has a tail of length one, and a rational 3-cycle has three such tails.

2. **Dynatomic equations control the short cycles.** The fixed-point polynomial is

$$\Phi_{\theta,1}(z) = dk - 3dz + 3kz^2 - z^3,$$

while the exact-period-two polynomial factors as

$$\Phi_{\theta,2}^*(z) = -(d - k^2)(d - z^2).$$

Hence a rational 2-cycle exists exactly when d is a rational square, and its two points are $\pm\sqrt{d}$. For period three, the paper treats $\Phi_{\theta,3}^*$ as a quadratic in k : its discriminant contains $-3d(d - z^2)^6$. It follows that $k = 0$ admits no rational 3-cycle, whereas $k \neq 0$ admits one precisely when $-3d$ is a rational square. The fibre involution then supplies one rational tail for each of its three points, for a total of six.

3. **Exclude extra cycles and longer tails.** Theorem 5.3 shows that a rational fixed point cannot coexist with a rational 3-cycle, a 2-cycle cannot coexist with a 3-cycle, and there cannot be a second rational cycle of period 2 or 3. Generalized dynatomic polynomials then show that tails into fixed points have length at most two, that no rational tails feed a 2-cycle, and that the only tails into a 3-cycle are the three length-one tails already forced above. Combining these alternatives leaves at most six vertices in every portrait.

The five portraits in words

The realized nonempty graphs are: one fixed point with its immediate predecessor; that component together with a 2-cycle; three fixed points, each with an immediate predecessor; a fixed point with one immediate predecessor and two points mapping to that predecessor; and a 3-cycle with one predecessor attached to each of its three vertices. These cases also make the sharpness of the six-point bound visible.

Toy model: why four marked points suffice

A projective linear map is determined by the images of three points. After normalizing three consecutive cycle points to $0, \infty, 1$, the fourth point becomes a cross-ratio. Rationality of that single cross-ratio is what forces the cyclotomic identity above. The toy principle captures the elegant part of the proof; it does not replace the arithmetic needed to classify all preperiodic tails.

Hardest point and caveats

The technically hardest part is not the long-cycle argument but the short-cycle compatibility analysis. Several exclusions reduce rational points on reducible plane curves to systems obtained from components over quadratic or cubic number fields; the paper reports Magma computations and points to accompanying code for the full elimination. The original PDF states the resulting singular points and checks that they give no admissible $\theta_{d,k}$, but this note does not reproduce those large computations or the lengthy rational parametrizations.

The five portrait diagrams and their explicit examples are also omitted. The conclusion concerns $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational preperiodic points and the geometric automorphism group over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$; it is not a bound for preperiodic points over arbitrary extensions.