

Periodic points and arithmetic degrees of certain rational self-maps

Long Wang

Long Wang, arXiv:2201.12750v4 (2023), 25 pages

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.12750v4>

One-sentence summary. A gap among dynamical degrees forces a two-sided height expansion for a suitable iterate of a birational map; periodicity then turns that expansion into a uniform height bound away from a fixed exceptional locus.

The problem and setup

For a morphism $f: \mathbf{P}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^N$ of degree at least two, Northcott's theorem makes preperiodic points a set of bounded Weil height. For a rational map, however, the familiar functorial estimate for heights is disrupted by indeterminacy. Wang asks what remains true for a birational self-map $f: X \dashrightarrow X$ of a smooth projective variety over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Fix an ample divisor H and write h_H for a logarithmic Weil height. The k -th dynamical degree is

$$\delta_k(f) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \deg_{k,H}(f^m)^{1/m}, \quad \deg_{k,H}(f) = (f^* H^k) \cdot H^{\dim X - k}.$$

The map is *cohomologically hyperbolic* if one $\delta_p(f)$ is strictly larger than every other dynamical degree. Let $X(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})_f$ denote the points whose forward iterates avoid $I(f)$; requiring membership for both f and f^{-1} makes the full orbit $\overline{O}_f(P) = \{f^i(P) : i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ well defined.

Main theorem (Wang, Theorem 1.1). *Let $f: X \dashrightarrow X$ be a cohomologically hyperbolic birational self-map of a smooth projective variety. There is a proper Zariski-closed subset $Z \subsetneq X$ such that*

$$\{P \in X(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})_f \cap X(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})_{f^{-1}} : P \text{ is periodic and } \overline{O}_f(P) \cap Z = \emptyset\}$$

is a set of bounded height.

Thus, for every degree bound B , Northcott implies that only finitely many of these points have $[\mathbf{Q}(P) : \mathbf{Q}] \leq B$. The theorem is not an unqualified finiteness assertion over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Why the theorem matters

The result extends the height-boundedness mechanism behind polynomial automorphisms to arbitrary dimension and genuinely rational birational maps. Its central contribution is a way to manufacture a useful height inequality without assuming that f is everywhere defined. On a surface, cohomological hyperbolicity is simply $\delta_1(f) > 1$; in dimension three it is $\delta_1(f) \neq \delta_2(f)$.

Four proof ingredients

1. **Amplify the dynamical-degree gap.** Choose an iterate $g = f^n$ so that the dominant degree of g^2 overwhelms all adjacent products $\deg_i(g) \deg_{i+1}(g)$. This quantitative separation is where cohomological hyperbolicity enters; taking an iterate makes the required intersection inequalities strict.
2. **Resolve both time directions and build positivity.** On a common resolution $\pi: V \rightarrow X$, the rational maps g and g^{-1} become morphisms $\phi, \psi: V \rightarrow X$. Wang considers

$$D = \phi^*H + \psi^*H - 3\pi^*H.$$

Siu’s numerical criterion reduces bigness of D to intersection estimates. The degree gap supplies the large term, while Dang’s inequality controls the mixed intersections. Hence D is big. This is the technically hardest step: it translates asymptotic dynamics on numerical cycle classes into positivity on a resolution.

3. **Convert bigness into a two-sided height expansion.** Outside the image of the stable base locus $\mathbf{B}(D)$, a height for D is bounded below. Functoriality is now available on V , giving Wang’s Lemma 4.1: for a nonempty open $U \subset X$,

$$h_H(g(R)) + h_H(g^{-1}(R)) \geq 3h_H(R) - C \quad (R \in U)$$

whenever both directions are defined. The subset ultimately excluded in the theorem is $Z = X \setminus U = \pi(\mathbf{B}(D))$.

4. **Exploit periodicity in the height recurrence.** Lemma 3.2 treats a more general asymmetric inequality. Applied here with $d_1 = d_2 = 1$ and $\zeta = 3 > 2$, it uses expanding characteristic roots in the forward and backward directions. For a finite full orbit contained in U , the exponentially weighted endpoint terms vanish as the recurrence is iterated, leaving a uniform bound for $h_H(P)$. Finally, every f -periodic point is $g = f^n$ -periodic, so the bound for g contains the desired set.

Toy model for the recurrence

Suppose a periodic real sequence (a_j) satisfies $a_{j+1} + a_{j-1} \geq Aa_j - C$ with $A > 2$. At an index where $a_j = M$ is maximal, the left side is at most $2M$, hence $M \leq C/(A - 2)$. This is exactly the periodic-orbit feature used by the height argument: expansion in both time directions is incompatible with a large value on a finite cycle. The analogy suppresses the asymmetric coefficients of Lemma 3.2 and, crucially, says nothing about how the geometric height inequality is obtained.

Caveats and omitted material

The exceptional set Z produced from $\mathbf{B}(D)$ is generally not f -periodic, so the full orbit—not merely P —must avoid it. In particular, the argument does not settle bounded height for *all* periodic points of every affine polynomial automorphism. The paper also develops a refined one-sided inequality on surfaces to prove conditional and unconditional cases of the Kawaguchi–Silverman conjecture and to construct Zariski-dense orbits; those results are outside this note’s focus.